

Groveland Conservation Commission 7-24-13 Town Hall 7pm  
present: M. Dempsey, J. Aalto, C. Keene, T. Schaefer, B. Eardsley

- Agenda:
- Lower Center St
  - Business items re: enforcement discussion w/ BOS  
Had 5 Marion Ave visit 7/21
  - NOI Groveland Fairways 2:30p.
  - NOI cont'd Jewell St 8:30p. (030-0413)
  - New Member Anthony Dalton, 786 Wash St activity
  - Next meeting (proposed) 9/11/13

John  
Atty Goldrosen

1. 186 Washington St - noticed clear cutting on hill  
 A permit was given. There is a silk sock according to Brad  
 B. O'Hanley of Alamy spike. Hay bales need to be  
 replaced within the next week. Brad will  
 ride by and contact Mr Gorski. We want the  
 hay bales at silk fence replaced along Wash St.  
 cut up hill where they were by Aug. 1.

2. Lower Center St. - Jeff gave us an update  
 Spike to Bob Arekelm + Colvert. He had it cleared  
 each time he was asked. Last spike to him 5-6 days ago  
 and asked about syringe. These are on order and will be put  
 in place soon. Colverts continue to get blocked.  
 Bob is looking into other potential solutions.  
 Comments by Jim Mangenello The issue goes way back.  
 Who is going to watch dog this problem? Is there a firm contract

to clear the culvert? Jeff said he is paying close attention to the work at the site.

Jeff will ask Bob A next time he talks about Amelia.

Send Jim a copy of the letter sent to Bob A.

3. Next meeting - Sept 11

Monday's Aug 12 - Brad

Aug 26 - Terry

Send Anthony a message ab

4. Groveland Furrows NOI / GNOI Opened at 7:30pm

Collected green cuts at tear street

Presented by Taylor Turbine of Millennium Engineering Inc

George Hazelnick Applicant and developer

Planning board has approved plans 9 acres of mixed lawn currently with 20 trees.

8 house lots wetlands primarily off side with 10 ft on property + On other side of Seven Stm is color

2 buffer zones For road no impact on this project by large wetland. No impact on trees in buffer.

Impact is other side of 7 Stm rd wetland / culvert

New roadway enters in the buffer zone 5 ft in 50

Aprs 50 ft in 100 ft of wetland

Upgrade to water + sewer taking place in the buffer

Silt fence on opposite roadway silt fence + hay bales

Slope is away from the buffer. Storm water plan is in place. 25-35 ft away from wetland - sewer + water

(Browns Knivings)

Net decrease in stormwater runoff, A berm is a buffer between

Many new trees will be planted and 20 will be removed. How long will the road be unpaved?

By the end of fall before seasonal restriction

Sidewalks both sides will be placed in the fall if possible

Housing will be built by spring and done within 2

years. Detention pond on site is outside of buffer

zone. Discharge into an existing pipe not the wetland

Only hardy roadway

Roof runoff from all housing goes back into the ground

for 4 houses meet the requirements but it will be done for all 8.

DEPTH-030-0415 - has been issued

Make sure that there is a pre construction meeting. We

can extend the silt fence in the OOC if desired

- All stockpiling will in flat areas downhill on lot 8
- As shown on lot 6 but extend into lot 7
- Up to the 70 ft elevation mark on the plan
- Erosion controls may be extended at pre-construction meeting on all points both ~~west~~ on the property

Motion that we accept plan as submitted with changes

request above - Tom, sec by Brad

passed unanimously try to issue by 2 weeks

⑤ Sewell St NOI cont'd

We are represented by Town Counsel John Goldrosen  
at Koppelman + Page

List of documents went through with responses by Atty  
Nylon - see attachment.

Peer review - we want peer review - Atty Goldrosen  
explained DEP policy that supports peer review

Mike listed what the peer review would look at.

Attorney Nylon continued to ~~exist~~ explain that the rest of  
the project is outside of our jurisdiction

Under the DEP we are still requesting \$5000 put in  
an escrow account.

Atty Nylon will not agree to allow review of property  
that includes quarry.

Jim Dole <sup>resident 50</sup> - Submitted ZBA requirements

Bob Ottantey <sup>BOH</sup> - current pond is insufficient. All the  
land needs to be striped and reviewed by a peer  
He feels we need an Engineer + botanist to do it.

Richard Lombard BOH - what is size of current pond.

George Cominsky

Asked Atty Nylén about status of NHESP

a. NOI - no take

b. MESA they are due additional info

Asked George Comiskey and Mark Orugovic to tell us about the habitat work in the area.

Can we send evidence of material that shows how wetland has been

Doreen Blake - How is Atty Nylén making decisions or contentions about wetland - Atty Nylén did not represent that he has expertise - Steve Trettel is the project engineer.

Richard Lenhart Bott - It seems applicant is relying for a principle

Atty Nylén - He does not think

I will send evidence of disturbance of the property to applicant

Bob O'Hanley Bott - doesn't liability of land transfer to applicant. Mr prior answer. Atty Goldrossen does not know if the earth removal permit carries this.

Atty Nylén - the land was not purchased to fill or as a land fill but too heavy. The only plan on file to restore.

The applicant should tell us if the pond was built correctly and why it didn't work.

They attempted to contact BSC but they did not have any records related to it.

Steve Trettel spoke regarding the work on the pond proposed it is an appropriate stabilization.

If the quarry is filled they will have to look at the pond again.

George C. - is pond going to be designed to settle. Shouldn't even we get a waiver from 25 ft disturbance.

Mike - Have we seen calculations and data from Steve Trettel - no

Richard - Clay + silt don't settle very well. How has the pond been built to take this into account.

Steven T - he disagrees with the question

Debbi - Supports our request for peer review. Why else would they build the pond.

Bob H - Have they taken into account bedrock on the site

Ally Goldrosen - we are not asking for review of whole site or of the pond at its capacity based on surrounding area

Can we submit scope of what peer review would be do

Note that the applicant disagrees with estimate the hearing. TCW noting the commission that we cannot continue without applicants consent.

Mike was appointed by board Commission to get scope together and authorize

They can seperately tell me - Commissioners what they want

Motion to authorize chair to write scope and authorize to bring hire a consultant. on the commissions behalf.

Goal is to get

Motion by Curt, sec. Tom

Q. Answers:

Continue to Sept 11, 2013

Brad will check Council get him address + pw

Charlie will give us CD of recently taught.

Copies of these minutes to Brad and Tom

Tom look into Drayon

Contact Lori - to get reactor back

- Motion to continue our delay on or to keep Lori on file

OCT

Curt, sec. Tom

Motion to close meeting

Closed at 10:12